

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

Charlottesville Division

ELIZABETH SINES, et al., :  
: :  
Plaintiffs, : Case No. 3:17-cv-00072-NKM  
v. : :  
: :  
JASON KESSLER, et al., :  
: :  
Defendants. : :

**ANSWER AND GROUNDS OF DEFENSE**

**COMES NOW** Defendant Richard B. Spencer, by counsel, and states the following Answer and Grounds of Defense to the Second Amended Complaint of Plaintiff Elizabeth Sines, et al.

FIRST DEFENSE

1. Denied.
2. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 2 and, therefore, demand strict proof thereof.
3. Denied.
4. Defendant denies the allegations of the first four sentences of Paragraph 4. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 4 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.
5. Denied.

6. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 6. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 6 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

7. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of the first and second sentences of Paragraph 7 because they merely characterize the First Amended Complaint. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of the third sentence of Paragraph 7 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

8. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 8 because they merely state legal conclusions.

9. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 9 because they merely state legal conclusions.

10. Defendant denies the allegations of the sixth sentence of Paragraph 10 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 10 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

11. Defendant denies the allegations of fourth sentence of Paragraph 11 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining

allegations of Paragraph 11 and, therefore, demands, therefore, strict proof thereof.

12. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 12 and demands, therefore, strict proof thereof.

13. Defendant denies any allegation that he planned the events of August 12, 2017. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 13 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

14. Defendant denies any allegation that he planned the events of August 12, 2017. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 14 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

15. Defendant denies the allegations of the last sentence of Paragraph 15 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 15 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

16. Defendant denies the allegations of the last sentence of Paragraph 16 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 16 and, therefore, demands, therefore, strict proof thereof.

17. Defendant denies the allegations of third sentence of Paragraph 17 to the extent that they include any allegation that he planned the events of August 12, 2017. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 17 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

18. Defendant denies the allegations of the third sentence of Paragraph 18 to the extent that they include any allegation that he planned the events of August 12, 2017. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 18 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

19. Defendant denies the allegations of the last sentence of Paragraph 19 to the extent that they are intended to apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 19 and, therefore, he demands strict proof thereof.

20. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 20 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 20 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

21. Defendant denies the following allegations of Paragraph 21: (a) that he is a resident of the Commonwealth of

Virginia; (b) those set forth in the third sentence; (c) those set forth in the fourth sentence; and (d) those set forth in the fifth sentence. Defendant admits the following allegations in Paragraph 21: (a) that he attended the University of Virginia; (b) that he is the president of the National Policy Institute; and (c) that he co-created "altright.com."

22. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 22 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 22 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

23. Defendant denies those allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 23 that allege he was part of a conspiracy. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 23 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 23 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

24. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 24 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 24 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

25. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 25 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 25 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

26. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 26 because they do not apply to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 26 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

27. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 27 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 27 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

28. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of the first and second sentences of Paragraph 28 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny said allegations of Paragraph 28 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Defendant admits the allegations of the third sentence of Paragraph 28.

29. Defendant admits that he and Defendant Mosley co-founded "Operation Homeland," but denies all remaining

allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 29. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of the first, third, fourth and fifth sentence of Paragraph 29 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny said allegations and therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

30. Defendant denies the allegations of the last sentence of Paragraph 30 to the extent that they apply to Defendant. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 30 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 30 and, therefore, demand strict proof thereof.

31. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 31 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 31 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

32. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 32 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 32 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

33. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegation of Paragraph 33 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 33 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

34. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 34 because they do not apply to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 34 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

35. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 35 because they do not apply to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 35 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

36. Defendant denies the allegations of the last sentence of Paragraph 36 to the extent that it might allege that he participated in any violence. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 36 because they do not apply to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 36 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

37. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 37 because they are not directed to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 37 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

38. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 38 because they do not apply to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 38 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

39. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 39 because they do not apply to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 39 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

40. Defendant admits that he participated in drafting the referenced statement. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 40 because they do not apply to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 40 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

41. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 41 because they do not apply to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or

deny the allegations of Paragraph 41 and therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

42. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 42 because they do not apply to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 42 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

43. Defendant denies the allegations of the last sentence of Paragraph 43 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 43 because they do not apply to him. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 43 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

44. Denied.

Captions I and A on page 20: Defendant denies the allegations set forth in Caption I and Caption A on page 20. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegation about Defendant Anglin and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

45. Denied.

46. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 46 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining

allegations in said sentence and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Defendant admits the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 46.

47. Denied.

48. Denied.

49. Defendant admits that participated in planning and organizing the referenced event, that he participated in the referenced rally, and that he did carry a torch. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of the first, second sentences and third sentences of Paragraph 49 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of the fourth, fifth and sixth sentences of Paragraph 49 because they do not constitute allegations of fact, but merely opinions.

50. Defendant denies the allegations of the first and third sentences of Paragraph 50. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 50 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

51. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 51 to the extent that they allege he was a conspirator. Defendant admits the remaining allegations of Paragraph 51, except the allegation that Defendant Kessler was on the podium.

52. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 52 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 52 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

53. Defendant denies that he has been a conspirator in any matter. Defendant admits that, after the fact, persons have referred to the events of May 13 as "Charlottesville 1.0"

54. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 54 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant admits that Defendant Kessler submitted a permit application for August 12, 2017. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 54 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

55. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 55 (including those in the footnote) and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

56. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 56 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

57. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 57 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

58. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 58 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

Caption B at page 23: Defendant denies the allegations contained in Caption B on page 23. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny that Defendant Anglin made the quoted statements and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

59. Denied.

60. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 60 that relate to the content of the referenced permit application. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 60.

61. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 61 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

62. Denied.

63. Defendant admits that he met with Evan McLaren at the Trump Hotel in Washington, D.C. Defendant denies all remaining allegations of Paragraph 63.

64. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 64 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

65. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 65 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

66. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 66 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

67. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 67 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny all remaining allegations of Paragraph 67 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

68. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 68 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 68 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

69. Defendant denies the allegations of the first, second and third sentences of Paragraph 69 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant does not recall making the statement alleged in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 69 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 69 and, therefore, demands, therefore, strict proof thereof.

70. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 70 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient

information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 70 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

71. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 71 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 71 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

72. Defendant denies any allegation that he "moderated, reviewed, directed and managed" the referenced forum, any allegation that he was a "moderator" of the referenced group, any allegation that he was a conspirator and any allegation that he had any access to and/or used said forum due to said alleged status. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 72 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

73. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 73 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 73 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

74. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 74 to the extent that they apply to him, including any allegation that he was a conspirator or used Discord. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 74 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

75. Defendant denies any allegation that he set up any of said channels. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 75 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

76. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 76 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 76 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

77. Defendant denies the allegations of the first, third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 77 to the extent they apply to him. Defendant admits that "Caerulus Rex" has been identified as a bodyguard of his. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 77 and demands strict proof thereof.

78. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 78 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

79. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 80 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 79 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

80. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 80 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient

information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 80 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

81. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 81 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

82. Defendant denies any allegation in Paragraph 82 that he was a conspirator. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 82 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

83. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 83 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

84. In response to the first sentence of Paragraph 84, Defendant: (a) admits that he participated in drafting the referenced document; (b) admits that he posted it on "Altright.com," and (c) states that he is not required to admit or deny the remaining allegations of said sentence because they merely characterize a document that speaks for itself.

Defendant admits the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 84. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of the third sentence of Paragraph 84 because they merely characterize a document that speaks for itself.

Caption C at page 30: Defendant denies the allegations contained in Caption C at page 30. Defendant lacks sufficient

information to admit or deny the allegations, if any are made, with respect to the quotation.

85. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 85 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

86. Defendant admits that an article containing the quoted material was posted on the website "altright.com." Defendant denies that the referenced website is his and denies any allegation that he wrote the article. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 86 because they merely characterize a document that speaks for itself.

87. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 87 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

88. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 88 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

89. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 89 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

90. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 90 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

91. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of the first and second sentences of Paragraph 91 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Defendant admits that a writer made the quoted remark on the website "altright.com," but denies that said website is his.

92. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 92 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

93. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 93 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

94. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 94 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

95. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 95 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 95 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

96. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 96 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

97. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 97 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient

information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 97 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

98. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 98 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

99. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 99 because they merely characterize a document that speaks for itself. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 99 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

100. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 100 because they merely characterize a document that speaks for itself. In addition, Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 100 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

101. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 101 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 101 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

102. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 102 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

103. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 103 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient

information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 103 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

104. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 104 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

105. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 105 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 105 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

106. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 106 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

107. Defendant denies any allegation that he was a conspirator, an organizer or "behind this" (whatever "this" was). Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 107 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

108. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 108 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 108 and, therefore, strict proof thereof.

109. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 109 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

110. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 110 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

111. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 111 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

112. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 112 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

113. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 113 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

114. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 114 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

115. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 115 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

116. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 116 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

117. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 117 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

118. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 118 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 118 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

119. Defendant denies the allegations of the first, second and third sentences of Paragraph 119 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of said sentences and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Defendant denies the allegations in the fifth sentence, as phrased. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of the sixth sentence of Paragraph 119 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Alternatively, Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of said sixth sentence because they merely characterize a publication that speaks for itself.

120. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 120 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 120 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

121. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 121 to the extent that they purport to apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit

or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 121 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

122. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 122 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Alternatively, Defendant is not required to admit or deny said allegations because they merely characterize a document that speaks for itself.

Caption D at page 45: Defendant denies the allegations in Caption D at page 45 to the extent that they purport to apply to him.

123. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 123 to the extent that they purport to apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 123 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

124. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 124 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 124 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof, including the allegations in footnote 8.

125. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 125 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

126. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 126 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

127. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 127 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

128. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 128 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

129. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 129 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 129 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

Caption E on page 47: Defendant denies the allegations of Caption E on page 47 to the extent that they apply to hm. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this caption and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

130. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 130 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

131. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 131 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

132. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 132 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

133. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 133 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

134. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 134 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 134 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

135. Defendant denies any allegation that he was a conspirator. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 135 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

136. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 136 to the extent that they apply to him any allegation. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 136 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

137. Defendant denies the allegations of the first and second sentences of Paragraph 137 to the extent that they apply

to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 137 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

138. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 138 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of this Paragraph 138 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

139. Defendant denies the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 139 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 139 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

140. Defendant admits the allegations of the fourth sentence of Paragraph 140, but denies any allegation that he so tweeted for any improper, tortious or illegal purpose. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 140 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

141. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 141 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 141 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

Caption II on page 51: Defendant denies the allegations in Caption II on page 51 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations therein and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

142. Defendant denies that he organized the referenced march. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 142 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

143. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 143 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Defendant admits that the parade was not disclosed to the public.

144. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 144 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 144 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

145. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 145 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

146. Defendant denies any allegation that he was "instructed" to do anything and any allegation that he was a conspirator. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or

deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 146 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

147. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 147 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

148. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 148 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 148 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

149. Defendant denies the allegations of the first, second and third sentences of Paragraph 149 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 149 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

150. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 150 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

151. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 151 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

152. Defendant admits the allegations of the last sentence of Paragraph 152. Defendant denies he is a Neo-Nazi or white supremacist and denies any allegation that he was a conspirator.

Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 153 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

153. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 153 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

154. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 154 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

155. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 155 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

156. Defendant denies any allegation in Paragraph 156 that he was a conspirator. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 156 and therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

157. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 157 to the extent that they apply to him, including any allegations that he was a conspirator or that he "intended to threaten, intimidate and harass as many bystanders as possible." Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 157 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

158. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 158 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 158 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

159. Defendant denies any allegation that he selected guards "for their willingness to 'get physical' with counterprotesters." Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 159 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

160. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 160 (which concern alleged observations by Plaintiffs) and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

161. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 161 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 161.

162. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 162 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 162 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

163. Defendant admits the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 163. Defendant denies the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 163 to the extent that they

apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 163, as phrased, and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

164. Defendant denies the allegations of the first and second sentences of Paragraph 164 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 164 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof (except that Defendant admits that he heard the phrases "blood and soil" and "you will not replace us."

165. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 165 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of the second or third sentences of Paragraph 165 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Defendant admits the allegations of the last sentence of Paragraph.

166. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 166 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

167. Defendant denies the allegation of the first sentence of Paragraph 167 to the extent that they apply to him and denies any allegation that he was a conspirator. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining

allegations of Paragraph 167 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

168. Defendant denies the allegations of the first and third sentences of Paragraph 168 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant also denies that he was a conspirator. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 168 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

169. Defendant denies any allegation that he threw fuel or tiki torches at anyone. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 169 (which concern a Plaintiff's alleged observations) and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

170. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 170 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 170 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

171. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 171 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 171 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

172. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 172 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient

information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 172 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

173. Defendant denies any allegation that he was a conspirator or that he sprayed mace on any person. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 173 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

174. In response to the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 174, Defendant denies that he was a conspirator, but admits the remaining allegations thereof. Defendant admits the allegations of the second of Paragraph 174. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of the third sentence of Paragraph 174 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of the fourth sentence of Paragraph 174 because they merely state an opinion; to the extent that any response to said remaining allegations is required, however, Defendant denies them to the extent that they apply to him.

175. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 175 because they merely state opinions. To the extent that any response is required, Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 175 to the extent that they apply to him and states that, because he lacks sufficient information

to admit or deny said remaining allegations, he is unable to answer them and demands strict proof thereof.

176. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 176 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 176 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

177. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 177 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 177 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

178. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 178 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 178 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

179. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 179 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

180. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 180 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 180 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

181. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 181 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

Caption (4) at page 60: Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of said caption because they are ambiguous and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

182. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 182 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

183. Defendant denies any allegation in Paragraph 183 that he was a conspirator. Defendant admits that he that he retweeted the referenced tweet by Defendant Kessler. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 183, and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

184. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 184 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

185. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 185 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

Caption B(1) on page 62: Defendant denies the allegations in said caption.

186. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 186 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient

information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 186 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

187. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 187 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 187 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

188. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 188 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 188 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

189. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 189 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

190. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 190 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

191. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 191 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 191 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

192. Denied.

Caption (2) at page 63: Denied.

193. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 193 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient

information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 193 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

194. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 194 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

195. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 195 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

196. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 196 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

197. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 197 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

198. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 198 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

199. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 199, and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

200. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 200 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 200 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

201. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 201 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 201 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

202. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 202 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 202 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

203. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 203 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

204. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 204 and, therefore, strict proof thereof.

205. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 205 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 205 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

206. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 206 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 206 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

207. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 207 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient

information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 207 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

208. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 208 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 208 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

209. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 209 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 209 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

210. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 210 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 210 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

211. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 211 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 211 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

212. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 212 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

213. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 213 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

214. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 214 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 214 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

215. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 215 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 215 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

216. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 216 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 216 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

217. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 217 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

218. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 218 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 218 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

219. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 219 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

220. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 220 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient

information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 220 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

221. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 221 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 221 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

Caption (3) on page 72: Defendant denies the allegations of said caption to the extent that they apply to him.

222. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 222 to the extent that they consist of a statement of law. Defendant admits that an unlawful assembly was declared, but denies all remaining allegations of Paragraph 222.

223. Defendant admits that Governor McAuliffe declared a state of emergency and made the quoted statement. Defendant denies all remaining allegations of Paragraph 223.

224. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 224 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

225. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 225 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 225 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

226. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 226 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

227. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 227 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

228. In response to the first sentence of Paragraph 228, Defendant admits that he and former Defendant Peinovich went to McIntire Park, but denies all remaining allegations thereof. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 228 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

229. Defendant admits that he and former Defendant Peinovich spoke at McIntire Park, but states that he lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegation that Mr. Peinovich referred to persons as "savages" and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof. Defendant denies all remaining allegations of Paragraph 229.

230. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 230 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 230 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

231. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 231 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

232. Denied.

233. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 233 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 233 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

234. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 234 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 234 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

235. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 235 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 235 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

236. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 236 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 236 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

237. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 237 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

238. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 238 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

239. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 239 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

240. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 240 and, therefore demands strict proof thereof.

241. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 241 (including that any alleged act of Defendant Fields was "in furtherance of" any alleged conspiracy) to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 241 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

242. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 242 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

243. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 243 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

244. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 244 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

245. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 245 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

246. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 246 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

247. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 247 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

248. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 248 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

249. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 249 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

250. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 250 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

251. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 251 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

252. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 252 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

253. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 253 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

254. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 254 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

255. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 255 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

256. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 256 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

257. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 257 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

258. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 258 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

259. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 259 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 259 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

260. Defendant admits the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 260, except that he denies any allegation about the time of the referenced tweet. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 260 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

261. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 261 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

Caption (5) on page 83: Denied.

262. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 262 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 262 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

263. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 263 (including those in footnote 11) and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

264. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 264 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

265. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 265 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

266. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 266 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

267. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 267 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

268. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 268 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

269. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 269 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

270. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 270 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

271. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 271 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

272. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 272 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

273. Defendant admits that he made the statement referenced in the first sentence of Paragraph 273. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining

allegations of Paragraph 273 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

274. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 274 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

275. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 275 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

276. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 276 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 276 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

277. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 277 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

Caption III on page 89: Denied.

Captions (A) and 1) on page 89: Denied.

278. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 278 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 278 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

279. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 279 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient

information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 279 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

280. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 280 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 280 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

281. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 281 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 281 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

282. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 282 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

Caption (2) on page 90: Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of said caption and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

283. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 283 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

284. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 284 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

285. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 285 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

286. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 286 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

287. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 287 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

288. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 288 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

289. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 289 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

290. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 290 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

291. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 291 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

292. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 292 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

293. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 293 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

294. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 294 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 294 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

Caption (B) on page 96: Denied.

295. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 295, as phrased, to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 295 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

296. Admitted.

297. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 297 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

298. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 298 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

299. Defendant admits the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 299. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 299 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

300. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 300 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

301. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 301 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

302. Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 302 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

303. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 303 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

304. Defendant admits that the referenced person published to referenced article, which speaks for itself. Defendant denies all remaining allegations of Paragraph 304 to the extent that they apply to him.

305. Defendant denies any allegation in Paragraph 305 that he was a conspirator, that he has encouraged or condoned or does condone any tortious or illegal act or acts. Defendant admits that he was in Charlottesville that day, that he was at an event that has been called "Charlottesville 3.0," that he carried a torch, that he did say "we will be back." Defendant lack

sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 305 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

306. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 306 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

Caption (C) on page 98: Denied.

307. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 307 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 307 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

308. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 308 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

309. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 309 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

310. Defendant admits the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 310. Defendant admits that he appeared with Messrs. Mosley and Damigo on the referenced program, but denies that he solicited donations.

311. Denied.

312. Denied.

313. Denied.

314. Defendant admits that he met Mr. McLaren, but denies all remaining allegations of Paragraph 314.

315. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 315 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

316. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 316 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

317. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 317 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

318. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 318 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

319. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 319 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

320. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 320 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

321. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 321 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

322. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 322 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 322 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

323. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 323 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

324. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 324 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 324 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

325. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 325 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 325 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

326. Defendant admits that an image of him was shown on an advertising poster.

327. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 327 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 327 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

328. Defendant admits that the referenced persons "attended and participated" in a torchlight parade, but denies all remaining allegations of Paragraph 328.

329. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 329 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

330. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 330 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 330 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

331. In response to the first sentence of Paragraph 331, Defendant admits that he attended and participated in some aspect of the rally on August 12, but denies all remaining allegation of said sentence. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 331 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

332. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 332 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 332 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

333. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 333 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 333 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

334. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 334 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

335. Defendant incorporates herein by reference his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 334 of the Second Amended Complaint as if said responses were fully set forth herein.

336. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 336 because they merely characterize a document that speaks for itself, *i.e.*, the Second Amended Complaint. To the extent that any response is required, Defendant states as follows: (a) he incorporates his responses to all earlier allegations; and (b) states that Ms. Pearce did not seek and was not granted leave to amend the First Amended Complaint or to be added as a plaintiff.

337. Denied.

338. Denied.

339. Denied.

340. Denied.

341. Denied.

342. Denied, including with respect to the allegation that, pursuant to the 13th Amendment to the United States Constitution, Plaintiffs have "rights to be free of the badges and incidents of slavery." Absent enactment by Congress of legislation under Section 2 of the 13th Amendment by which the

Congress bans some badge or incident of slavery, there is no civilly enforceable right to be free or such a badge or incident and, therefore, no cause of action exists under 42 U.S.C. Section 1985(3) or 42 U.S.C. Section 1986.

343. Denied.

344. Defendant incorporates herein by reference his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 343 of the First Amended Complaint as if said responses were fully set forth herein.

345. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 345 because they merely characterize a document that speaks for itself, *i.e.*, the Second Amended Complaint. To the extent that any response is required, Defendant states as follows (a) he incorporates herein by reference his responses to the earlier allegations of the Second Amended Complaint; and (b) states that Plaintiff Pearce did not seek and was not granted leave to amend the First Amended Complaint or to be added as a plaintiff.

346. Denied.

347. Denied.

348. Denied.

349. Denied.

350. Denied.

351. Defendant incorporates herein by reference his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 350 of the Second Amended Complaint as if said responses were fully set forth herein.

352. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 352 because they merely characterize a document that speaks for itself, i.e., the Second Amended Complaint. To the extent that any response is required, Defendant states as follows: (a) he incorporates herein by reference his responses to the earlier allegations in the Second Amended Complaint; and (b) he states that Plaintiff Pearce did not seek and was not granted leave to amend the First Amended Complaint or leave to be added as a plaintiff.

353. Denied.

354. Denied.

355. Denied.

356. Defendant incorporates herein by reference his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 355 of the Second Amended Complaint as if said responses were fully set forth herein.

357 through 363. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraphs 357 through 363 because they are not directed to him. If, however, any response is required Defendant states as follows: (a) he lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the factual allegations of said paragraphs and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof; and (b) he is not

required to admit or deny the remaining allegations because they merely constitute statements of law.

364. Defendant incorporates herein by reference his response to Paragraphs 1 through 363 of the Second Amended Complaint as if said responses were fully set forth herein.

365. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 365 because they merely state a legal conclusion.

366. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 366 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 366 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

367. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 367 to the extent that they are directed to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 367 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

368. Defendant incorporates herein by reference his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 367 of the Second Amended Complaint as if said responses were fully set forth herein.

369. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 369 to the extent that they apply to him. Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations Paragraph 369 and, therefore, demands strict proof thereof.

370. Defendant incorporates herein by reference his responses to Paragraphs 1 through 369 of the Second Amended Complaint as if said responses were fully set forth herein.

371. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 371 because they are not directed to him.

372. Defendant is not required to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 372 because they are not directed to him.

373 through 377. No response to said Paragraphs is required because they do not allege facts. Notwithstanding said reservation, but without waiving it, Defendant denies Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief requested.

#### SECOND DEFENSE

378. The Second Amended Complaint fails to state a claim for which relief can be granted, in part, because:

- a. Plaintiffs do not allege the violation of any right protected against infringement by private actors.
- b. The United States Congress has not enacted any legislation proscribing, or creating a private cause of action with respect to, the putative badges and incidents of slavery alleged herein (such as a putative right to be free of racially motivated violence).

- c. Those Plaintiffs who are not African-

American do not have any rights under the 13th Amendment to the United States Constitution to be free from alleged badges and incidents of slavery.

d. Section 8.01-42.1, Code of Virginia, is overly broad and unduly vague and, therefore, violates the 1st, 5th and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 11 and 12 of the Constitution of Virginia.

e. Plaintiffs have not adequately pleaded either statutory conspiracy under Section 1985(3) or common law conspiracy under Virginia law.

f. Defendant incorporates herein by reference the arguments that he set forth in his earlier Motion to Dismiss and that he stated in oral argument thereon.

#### THIRD DEFENSE

379. Any allegation not expressly and unequivocally admitted herein is hereby denied.

#### FOURTH DEFENSE

380. Defendant did not conspire with any person.

#### FIFTH DEFENSE

381. Defendant did not engage in any tortious or illegal acts; Defendant did not encourage or incite any tortious or illegal acts; and Defendant did not conspire to effect the commission of any tortious or illegal acts.

SIXTH DEFENSE

382. All alleged statements by Defendant are forms of speech protected under the 1st and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution and under Article I, Section 12 of the Constitution of Virginia.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

383. Any communications, including meetings, between Defendant and any other alleged conspirator are forms of association protected under the 1st and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Section 12 of the Constitution of Virginia.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

384. Defendant did not know of any alleged wrongs about to be committed pursuant to the alleged conspiracies.

NINTH DEFENSE

385. Defendant did not have the power to prevent or aid in the prevention of any alleged wrongs about to be committed pursuant to the alleged conspiracies.

TENTH DEFENSE

386. Defendant did not have the power to prevent or aid in the prevention and/or termination of the alleged conspiracies.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

387. Plaintiffs assumed the risk of the injuries of which they complain and, therefore, their claims are barred.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

388. Plaintiffs were contributorily negligent and, therefore, their claims are barred.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

389. Any injuries allegedly sustained by Plaintiffs were proximately caused by persons other than Defendant and over whom Defendant had no control or for whom Defendant does not have any legal responsibility (such as Plaintiffs themselves, Defendant Fields and/or one or more law enforcement agencies). On or more of said other causes constitute(s) a superseding cause.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

390. Any alleged injuries to Plaintiffs are not as severe or extensive as alleged by Plaintiffs.

FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

391. One or more causes of injury to Plaintiffs and/or injuries to Plaintiffs were not reasonably foreseeable by Defendant.

SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

392. The alleged acts of Defendant Fields were not reasonably foreseeable by Defendant.

SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

393. Any alleged acts of Defendant Fields and any acts of violence other than those in self-defense were not objects of any alleged conspiracy.

EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

394. Any injunctive relief against Defendant would constitute an unconstitutional prior restraint on protected speech and/or association.

NINETEENTH DEFENSE

395. Defendant reserves the right to assert any other defense, whether affirmative or otherwise, and hereby notifies Plaintiffs that he will invoke any other defense supported by the facts, whether now known or adduced in discovery and/or at trial.

**WHEREFORE**, the premises considered, Defendant Richard B. Spencer requests the following relief:

- a. entry of a judgment in favor of Defendant against each Plaintiff and dismissal of the Second Amended Complaint with prejudice;
- b. an award of all taxable costs; and
- c. an award of such other or further relief as this Court might deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD B. SPENCER

By: /s/ John A. DiNucci  
John A. DiNucci (VSB No. 29270)  
8180 Greensboro Drive  
Suite 1150  
McLean, Virginia 22102  
tel.: (703) 821-4232  
fax: (703) 790-9863  
e-mail: dinuccilaw@outlook.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on September 30, 2019 I filed the foregoing Answer and Grounds of Defense with the Clerk of Court through the CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of electronic filing of this pleading to all counsel of record, including the following:

Philip M. Bowman, Esq.  
Boies, Schiller, Flexner  
575 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
pbowman@bsfllp.com

Robert T. Cahill, Esq.  
Cooley, LLP  
11951 Freedom Drive  
14th Floor  
Reston, Virginia 20190  
rcahll@cooley.com

Roberta Kaplan, Esq.  
Kaplan & Company, LLP  
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7110  
New York, New York 10118  
rkaplan@kaplanandcompany.com

Karen L. Dunn, Esq.  
Boies, Schiller, Flexner  
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
kdunn@bsfllp.com

Alan Levine, Esq.  
Cooley, LLP  
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
alevine@cooley.com

Justin Sanders Gravatt, Esq.  
Duane, Hauck, Davis & Gravatt  
100 West Franklin Street  
Suite 100  
Richmond, Virginia 23220  
jgravatt@dhdglaw.com

Bryan Jones, Esq.  
106 West South Street  
Suite 211  
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902  
bryan@bjoneslegal.com

Elmer Woodard, Esq.  
5661 U.S. Highway 29  
Blairs, Virginia 24527  
isuecrooks@comcast.net

James Edward Kolenich, Esq.  
9435 Waterstone Boulevard  
Suite 140  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45429.  
jek318@gmail.com

I also certify that I e-mailed a copy to the following persons who do not have counsel of record:

Dillon Hopper  
Vanguard America  
dillon.hopper@protonmail.com

Elliott Kline  
a/k/a Eli Mosely  
eli.f.mosley@gmail.com

Matthew Heimbach  
Matthew.w.heimbach@gmail.com

/s/ John A. DiNucci  
John A. DiNucci (VSB No. 29270)  
8180 Greensboro Drive, Suite 1150  
McLean, Virginia 22102  
tel.: (703) 821-4232  
fax: (703) 790-9863  
e-mail: dinuccilaw@outlook.com